

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

NOEL MANZANO-CINTRÓN, A.K.A. ANGEL
GOMEZ-MORALES,
Defendant.

CASE NO. 16-177 (GAG)
16-591-12 (GAG)

PLEA AGREEMENT

(Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) FRCP)

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW the United States of America through its counsel W. Stephen Muldrow, United States Attorney for the District of Puerto Rico; Myriam Fernández-González, Assistant United States Attorney, Chief, Criminal Division; Alberto López-Rocafor, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Deputy Chief, Narcotics Unit; Vanessa E. Bonhomme, Assistant U.S. Attorney; Nadia Y. Pineda, Assistant U.S. Attorney; Defendant's counsel, Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez, Esq.; and Defendant Noel Manzano-Cintrón, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, state to this Honorable Court, that they have reached an agreement, the terms and conditions of which are as follows:

1. COUNTS TO WHICH DEFENDANT PLEADS GUILTY

Criminal Case No.16-177 (PAD):

The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to **COUNT TWO** of the Indictment:

COUNT TWO: (Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking Crime)

Count Two charges that on or about March 3, 2016, in the District of Puerto Rico and

N.M.C

LMR

within the jurisdiction of this Court, NOEL MANZANO-CINTRÓN, A.K.A. ANGEL GOMEZ-MORALES, did knowingly possess a firearm, to wit a Glock Pistol Model 19, 9MM caliber, bearing serial number WLV762, loaded with sixteen rounds of .99 caliber ammunition, in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime for which the person may be prosecuted in a court of the United States. All in violation of Title 18, *United States Code*, §924(c)(1)(A)(i).

Criminal Case No. 16-591 (GAG):

N.M.C.

The defendant agrees to plead guilty to COUNT ONE of the Indictment:

COUNT ONE: (Conspiracy to Possess with Intent to Distribute Controlled Substances) -

Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than in or about the year 2012, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the Municipality of San Juan, District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, defendant, [12] NOEL MANZANO-CINTRÓN, and other persons, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, to wit: in excess of two hundred and eighty (280) grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (crack), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; in excess of one (1) kilogram of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; in excess of five (5) kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Oxycodone (commonly known as Percocet), a Schedule II Controlled

OK

Substance; and a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Alprazolam (commonly known as Xanax), a Schedule IV Controlled Substance; within one thousand (1,000) feet of a real property comprising the Vista Hermosa Public Housing Project (hereinafter "Vista Hermosa"), a housing facility owned by a public housing authority, within one thousand (1,000) feet of a real property comprising a public or private elementary, vocational, or secondary school, and within one thousand (1,000) feet of a playground, all within the Municipality of San Juan, Puerto Rico. All in violation of Title 21, *United States Code*, §§ 841(a)(1), 846, and 860.

2. MAXIMUM PENALTIES

Criminal Case No. 16-177 (PAD):

The penalty for the offense charged in COUNT TWO of the Indictment is a term of imprisonment of not less than five (5) years, but not more than life imprisonment; and a term of supervised release of not more than three (3) years. The Court may also impose a fine not to exceed \$250,000.00, pursuant to Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i).

Criminal Case No. 16-591 (GAG):

The penalty for the offense charged in COUNT ONE of the Indictment is a term of imprisonment which shall not be less than ten (10) years and up to two (2) terms of life, a fine not to exceed twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000.00) and a term of supervised release of not less than ten (10) years in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to Title 21, *United States Code*, §§ 841(b)(1)(A), 846, and 860.

However, for purposes of this plea agreement, the defendant is being held responsible for the possession of at least 3.5 kilograms but less than 5 kilograms of cocaine. Therefore, if the Court accepts this stipulation, the defendant faces a minimum term of imprisonment of five (5) years up

N.M.C

OK

to a maximum term of imprisonment of eighty (80) years, a fine not to exceed ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00), and a term of supervised release of not less than eight (8) years in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to Title 21, *United States Code*, §§ 841(b)(1)(C), 846, and 860.

3. SPECIAL MONETARY ASSESSMENT

Prior to Defendant's change of plea hearing, the Defendant shall pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per count of conviction.

4. ADVISORY NATURE OF THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES

The defendant is aware that pursuant to the decisions issued on January 12, 2005, by the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and must be considered effectively advisory.

5. RULE 11(c)(1)(B) WARNINGS

The defendant is aware that the defendant's sentence is within the sound discretion of the sentencing judge, but the same may be imposed following the United States Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes as advisory to the imposition of sentence. The defendant is aware that the Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence within the statutory maximum set for the offense to which the defendant pleads guilty. If the Court should impose a sentence up to the maximum established by statute, the defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw a guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this plea agreement.

6. FINES AND RESTITUTION

The Court may, pursuant to Section 5E1.2(i) of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes, order the defendant to pay a fine sufficient to

N.M.C

OK

reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment and/or supervised release ordered.

Defendant further agrees to provide financial statements as requested by the United States.

7. SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALCULATIONS

Although the Guidelines are now advisory in nature, the sentencing court, in imposing sentence, is required to consider the Guideline "sentencing range established for the applicable category of the offense committed by the defendant." *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). The United States and Defendant agree to recommend the following Sentencing Guidelines' calculations:

Criminal Case No.16-177 (PAD):

SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATION TABLE (COUNT TWO)	
Title 18, <i>United States Code</i> , § 924(c)	
Count Two of the Indictment, where the defendant pleads guilty for possessing a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, carries a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of five (5) years. U.S.S.G. §2K2.4(b)	(60) months

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Criminal Case No. 16-591 (GAG):

SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALCULATION TABLE (COUNT ONE) Title 21, <i>United States Code</i> , §§ 841(a)(1), 846, and 860	
BASE OFFENSE LEVEL [U.S.S.G. §2D1.1(c)(7)] (Possession of at least 2 kilograms but less than 3.5 kilograms of cocaine)	26
PROTECTED LOCATION [U.S.S.G. §2D1.2(a)(1)] (Distribution within 1,000 of PHP/School Zone)	+2
Aggravating Role [§ 3B1.1]	+3
Acceptance of Responsibility [§ 3E1.1]	-3
TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL	28
IMPRISONMENT RANGE IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY I	78-97
IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY II	87-108
IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY III	97-121
IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY IV	110-137
IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY V	130-162
IF CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY VI	140-175
	months
	ZONE D

8. CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY

The parties make NO stipulation as to defendant's criminal history. However, the parties stipulate that the defendant's conviction in criminal matter 12-460 (DRD) in the District Court for the District of Puerto Rico is part of the relevant conduct in criminal case number 16-591 (GAG). The parties agree that since 12-460 (DRD) is relevant conduct in the instant case, the

sentence of imprisonment shall be imposed pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 5G1.3 and/or 5K2.23. The parties further agree that any sentence received for the violation of supervised release conditions in 12-460 (DRD) is not considered to be relevant conduct to the instant case for purposes of U.S.S.G. §§ 5G1.3 and/or 5K2.23.

9. SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION

The parties agree that the defendant and the United States will request a sentence of five (5) years of incarceration as to COUNT TWO in criminal case number 16-177 (PAD), regardless of the defendant's criminal history category. The defendant recognizes that a conviction in Count Two in criminal case number 16-177 (PAD) carries a statutory minimum sentence of five (5) years. The defendant understands that this five (5) year sentence will run consecutive to whatever sentence is imposed in any other criminal matter.

The parties further agree that the defendant and the United States will jointly request a sentence of eighty-four (84) months of imprisonment in criminal case number 16-591 (GAG), regardless of the defendant's criminal history category. The defendant shall not request a sentence below eighty-four (84) months of incarceration, regardless if the presentence report's guideline calculation reflects a lower criminal history category. Any recommendation below eighty-four (84) months would be a substantial and material breach of the plea agreement.

The defendant further agrees that the sentencing recommendation for COUNT ONE in criminal case number 16-591 (GAG) will be consecutive to the sentence imposed in COUNT TWO in criminal case number 16-177 (PAD) for a total sentence of one hundred forty four (144) months of incarceration.

N.M.C.

OK

The defendant would agree that this sentence recommendation is reasonable pursuant to Title 18, *United States Code*, §3553(a).

10. WAIVER OF APPEAL

Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees that, if the imprisonment sentence imposed by the Court is sixty (60) months or less in **COUNT TWO** in criminal case number 16-177 (PAD) and a consecutive imprisonment sentence of one hundred twenty one (121) months of imprisonment or less as to **COUNT ONE** of criminal case number 16-591 (GAG), the defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of this case's judgment and sentence, including but not limited to the term of imprisonment or probation, restitution, fines, forfeiture, and the term and conditions of supervised release.

11. NO FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS OR DEPARTURES

The United States and the defendant agree that no departures shall be requested by the parties. This Plea Agreement has taken into consideration all Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 3553 factors. *Any recommendation for a term of imprisonment below what has been stipulated in paragraph (9) above will constitute a material breach of the plea agreement.*

12. JURISDICTIONAL LIMITS OF PLEA AGREEMENT

It is specifically understood by the defendant, that this plea agreement does not extend to or bind other federal districts, federal civil and/or tax authorities, and/or State or Commonwealth of Puerto Rico tax authorities, civil and/or State or Commonwealth of Puerto Rico law enforcement authorities.

13. SATISFACTION WITH COUNSEL

The defendant, represents to the Court that defendant is satisfied with defendant's attorney, Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez, Esquire, and hereby indicates that counsel has rendered effective legal assistance.

N.M.C.

14. RIGHTS SURRENDERED BY DEFENDANT THROUGH GUILTY PLEA

Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, Defendant surrenders certain rights as provided in this agreement. Defendant understands that the rights of criminal defendants include the following:

com

a. If the Defendant had persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges, Defendant would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the assistance of counsel. The trial may be conducted by a judge sitting without a jury if the Defendant, the United States and the judge agree.

b. If a jury trial is conducted, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons selected at random. The Defendant and the Defendant's attorney would assist in selecting the jurors by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree, unanimously, before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant is presumed innocent that it could not convict the Defendant unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of the Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that it was to consider each charge separately.

c. If a trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and, after hearing all the evidence and considering each count separately, determine whether or not the evidence established the Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

d. At a trial, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against the Defendant. The Defendant would be able to confront those witnesses and Defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, the Defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on Defendant's own behalf. If the witnesses for the Defendant would not appear voluntarily, Defendant could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court.

e. At a trial, the Defendant could rely on the privilege against self-incrimination to decline to testify, and no inference of guilty could be drawn from the Defendant's refusal to testify. If the Defendant desired to do so, the Defendant could testify on the Defendant's own behalf.

N.M.C
WNC

15. DISMISSAL OF REMAINING COUNTS:

At sentencing, the United States shall request the dismissal of the remaining counts of the Indictment in both criminal case numbers 16-177 (PAD) and 16-591 (GAG).

16. STIPULATED VERSION OF FACTS

The accompanying Stipulated Version of Facts signed by the defendant is hereby incorporated into this plea agreement. Defendant adopts the Version of Facts and agrees that the facts therein are accurate in every respect and that, had the matter proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven those facts beyond a reasonable doubt. Further, the defendant agrees that said statement of facts can be used by the sentencing judge in determining the application of any sentencing guidelines in the instant case.

17. LIMITATIONS OF PLEA AGREEMENT

This plea agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the District of

Puerto Rico and the Defendant; it does not bind any other federal district, state or local authorities.

18. ENTIRETY OF PLEA AGREEMENT

The United States and the defendant acknowledge that the above-stated terms and conditions constitute the entire plea agreement between the parties and deny the existence of any other terms and conditions not stated herein. No additional promises, terms or conditions will be entered unless in writing and signed by all parties.

19. AMENDMENTS TO PLEA AGREEMENT

No other promises, terms, conditions will be entered unless in writing, signed by all parties.

20. VOLUNTARINESS OF GUILTY PLEA

It is understood by the defendant, that defendant is entering into this plea agreement without compulsion, threats, or any other promises from the United States Attorney or any of his agents. The defendant acknowledges that no threats have been made against the defendant and that the defendant is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because the defendant is, in fact, guilty.

21. POTENTIAL IMPACT ON IMMIGRATION STATUS

The Defendant hereby agrees and recognizes that, if the Defendant is not a United States Citizen, his plea of guilty in this case may have an impact in his immigration status. Among others, the Defendant is subject to removal from the United States; the defendant may be barred, temporarily or permanently, from reentering the United States; a criminal conviction may affect the Defendant's ability to apply for United States citizenship, and other benefits. Further, the Defendant agrees and recognizes that any term of supervised release imposed by the Court may be suspended, as long as he remains outside the United States. However, should Defendant, at any

N.M.C.
JRP

time, reenter the United States he must immediately report to a U. S. Probation Office and otherwise comply with any conditions of supervised release that may be imposed herein.

22. FORFEITURE AGREEMENT

The defendant agrees to the following forfeiture:

(a) Defendant hereby forfeits all his right, title and interest in any assets which constitutes proceeds of the criminal offense committed or facilitated his criminal activity in violation of Title 21, *United States Code*, §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), 846, and 860, to include, but not limited to:

a. Money Judgment against the defendant, jointly and severally, for a sum of money equal to at least twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000.00) in U.S. Currency, representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the offense.

(b) As part of the defendant's plea agreement with the United States, defendant agrees not to contest the forfeiture to the government of any of the assets described in the above paragraph;

(c) In the event that any claim is made by third parties to any of the assets listed at paragraph (a) above, the defendant agrees to forfeit substitute assets equal in value to those assets claimed by third parties;

(d) Defendant agrees that he will cooperate with the government by taking whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States of assets named or covered by paragraph (a) above including, but not limited to, assisting in bringing any assets or

N.M.C.
C.M.

the proceeds from the sale of assets located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States, completing any legal documents required for the transfer of assets to the United States, and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture;

The defendant hereby waives the requirements of Fed.R.Crim.P. 43(a) with respect to the imposition of any forfeiture sanction carried out in accordance with this Plea Agreement, and further agrees to not contest or challenge in any manner (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) such forfeitures on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes double jeopardy, or an excessive fine or punishment.

N.M.C


DP


INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


23. FIREARMS FORFEITURE


Pursuant to Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 924(d) and Title 28, *United States Code*, Section 2461(c), Defendant agrees to forfeit all of his right, title, and interest in the following property (hereafter, collectively, the "Property"): a Glock Pistol Model 19, 9mm caliber, bearing serial number WLV762, and sixteen rounds of .9mm caliber ammunition.


W. STEPHEN MULDROW
United States Attorney


Myriam Fernández-González
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division
Dated: 2.8.2020


Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez, Esq
Counsel for Defendant
Dated: 11/3/2020


Alberto R. López-Rocafort
Assistant United States Attorney
Deputy Chief, Narcotics Unit
Dated: 2/2/2020


Noel Manzano-Cintrón
Defendant
Dated: 10/7/20


Vanessa E. Bonhomme
Assistant United States Attorney
Dated: 2/6/2020

I have consulted with my counsel and fully understand all of my rights with respect to the Indictment pending against me. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes which may apply in my case. I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with your attorney. My counsel has translated the plea agreement it to me in the Spanish language and I have no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. I fully understand this agreement and I voluntarily agree to it.

Date: 10/7/20

N. Manzano C.
Noel Manzano-Cintrón
Defendant

I am the attorney for the Defendant. I have fully explained to the Defendant his rights with respect to the pending Superseding Indictment. Further, I have reviewed the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes, and I have fully explained to the Defendant the provisions of those guidelines which may apply in this case. I have carefully reviewed every part this Plea Agreement with the Defendant. I have translated the plea agreement and explained it in the Spanish language to the defendant who has expressed having no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. To my knowledge, the Defendant is entering into this agreement voluntarily, intelligently and with full knowledge of all consequences of Defendant's plea of guilty.

Date: 7/13/2020

Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez
Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez, Esq.
Counsel for Defendant

GOVERNMENT'S VERSION OF THE FACTS

In conjunction with the submission of the accompanying plea agreement in this case, The United States submits the following statement setting forth the United States version of the facts leading to the defendant's acceptance of criminal responsibility for defendant's violations in criminal case number 16-177 (PAD) of Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 924(c) and in criminal case number 16-591 (GAG) of Title 21, *United States Code*, Sections 841, 846, and 860. The following is a summary of the facts the United States would have proven beyond reasonable doubt if this matter would have gone to trial:

Criminal Case No.16-177 (PAD):

On March 3, 2016, PRPD Officers and HSI Agents went to the Vista Hermosa Public Housing Projects (VHPHP), in San Juan P.R. to execute a state issued search warrant at Building D40 Apartment 517 of the VHPHP.

The search yielded:

1. Residue of a green leafy substance wrapped in brown tobacco paper
 2. Plastic container of synthetic marijuana.
 3. Small baggie containing marihuana
 4. Glock pistol model 19, serial number WL.V762, 9mm caliber, in the top drawer of a side dresser loaded with a 16 rds of 9mm ammunition.
 5. Plastic container with multiple plastic baggies containing 204 small foil wrapping containing a brown powdery substance that weighed 65.5 gr and tested positive for the characteristics of heroin;
 6. An extended Glock drum magazine 9mm with 44 rds of 9mm ammunition
 7. Two 17 rd magazines loaded with 17 rds of 9mm ammunition each
 8. An airsoft rifle
 9. A black backpack was found containing a large assortment of drug paraphernalia.
- Noel Manzano-Cintrón was the occupant of the apartment. HSI Agents read Manzano his

Mirada Rights, which he signed, waived, and agreed to be interviewed. Manzano stated that the firearm and everything found in the apartment belonged to him.

W.M.C.

OK

As part of this Plea Agreement the defendant, Noel Manzano-Cintrón, accepts that on March 3, 2016, he possessed a Glock pistol model 19, serial number WL762, in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, as charged in Count Two of the Indictment.

The United States would have proven this case beyond a reasonable doubt through physical and documentary evidence, including, but not limited to, the testimony of PRPD and HSI Agents, photographs, documents, the firearm, controlled substances seized, and other physical evidence.

Discovery was timely made available to Defendant for review.

Criminal Case No. 16-591 (GAG):

Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than in or about the year 2012, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the Municipality of San Juan, District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, [12] NOEL MANZANO-CINTRÓN, and his co-defendants did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, to wit: in excess of two hundred and eighty (280) grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (crack), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; in excess of one (1) kilogram of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; in excess of five (5) kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Oxycodone (commonly known as Percocet), a Schedule II Controlled Substance; and a

W.M.C

W.M.C

mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Alprazolam (commonly known as Xanax), a Schedule IV Controlled Substance; within one thousand (1,000) feet of a real property comprising the Vista Hermosa Public Housing Project (hereinafter "Vista Hermosa"), a housing facility owned by a public housing authority, within one thousand (1,000) feet of a real property comprising a public or private elementary, vocational, or secondary school, and within one thousand (1,000) feet of a playground, all within the Municipality of San Juan, Puerto Rico. All in violation of Title 21, *United States Code*, Sections 841(a)(1), 846 and 860. N.M.C.

Specifically, [12] NOEL MANZANO-CINTRÓN, acted as a drug point owner and armed enforcer for this drug trafficking organization. Several kilograms of cocaine, cocaine base (crack), heroin, a detectable amount of marijuana, and Oxycodone and Alprazolam were sold during the course of the conspiracy, however for purposes of his plea agreement, the defendant is held responsible for the possession with intent to distribute and the distribution of at least ² ~~2.5~~ ^{OK} kilograms but less than ^{3.5} ~~3~~ ^{OK} kilograms of cocaine. This all occurred within 1,000 feet of a housing facility owned by a public housing authority, within 1,000 feet of a property comprising a public or private elementary, vocational, or secondary school, and within 1,000 feet of a playground. OK

The United States would have proven these facts beyond a reasonable doubt through physical, testimonial and documentary evidence such as, but not limited to, video recordings, photographs, and the testimony of agents from the Police of Puerto Rico, HSI, and cooperating

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


USA v. Noel Manzano-Cintrón, Criminal No.16-177 (PAD) and 16-591-12 (GAG)

Plea Agreement


Page 19

witnesses, in addition to experts from the DEA Laboratory and CBP Laboratory, among others.

All discovery was timely provided to defendant.



Vanessa E. Bonhomme
Assistant United States Attorney
Dated: 2/5/2020



Laura Maldonado-Rodriguez, Esq.
Counsel for Defendant
Dated: 7/13/2020



Noel Manzano-Cintrón
Defendant
Dated: 10/7/20